

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PACENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/897,890		07/05/2001	David D. Argust	YOR9-2001-0416-US1	2520 -	
28211	7590	12/21/2004	•	EXAMINER		
FREDER	ICK W. G	IBB, III	FADOK, MARK A			
	& GIBB, P.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 304		_	3625			
ANNAPO	LIS, MD	21401	DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					١٨ ٠١				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	- 101				
		09/897,890)	ARGUST, DAVID D.	ı				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mark Fado		3625					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ever n. a reply within the statut eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 October 2004							
2a)□		This action is no							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-20</u> is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	rawn from consi							
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.							
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 May 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the courte oath or declaration is objected to by the								
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)[] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But ee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority documer reau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications ats have been receives 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	e				
Attachment	(s)								
1) 🛛 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/5/2001</u> .	/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group IA (claims 1-5) in the reply filed on 10/19/2004 is acknowledged.

Examiner's Note

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers or figures in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Examiner's note

As per the applicant's definition page 2 of PG PUB 2003/009387, para 0024. The examiner understands the capacity buckets to be "The capacity bucket concept as used herein is merely exemplary and could be similarly represented as any forecasting tool such as capacity slots, available slots, forecasting periods, ect."

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battas et al (6,757,689) in view of Matoba et al (5,231,567).

In regards to claim 1, Battes discloses a method of pushing changes in product shipment dates to a catalog comprising: sending an original availability date for products to said catalog. Since the method of Battas is updating an existing item (col 22, lines 30-65, it is inherent in the system that at some point an original item had been placed in the catalog);

Battas teaches sending a push signal to said catalog to update a catalog with the most current inventory information in real time (col 22, line 50-65), but does not specifically mention the scheduling system that creates the up to date inventory information as found in the instant claims. Matoba teaches updating lead times with capacity adjustment data (col 9, lines 5-15). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in Battas the scheduling and forecasting techniques offered by Matoba, because this would provide accurate real time changes to lead times when needed.

In regards to claim 2 the combination of Battes and Matoba teach wherein said push signal includes a revised availability date for said product in said catalog (see discussion above in claim 1).

In regards to claim 3 the combination of Battes and Matoba teach wherein said revised availability date is different than said original availability date by said shipment delay period (see response to claim 1).

In regards to claim 4 the combination of Battes and Matoba teach wherein the timing of when said push signal is sent to said catalog is dependent only upon said quantities in said capacity buckets (when certain events occur designated by the catalog operator (col 22, lines 55-65) i.e. capacity changes resulting adjusted lead time at the supplier. The lead time in combination with Matoba is automatically pushed to the catalog by the system of Battas, (see response to claim 1)).

In regards to claim 5 the combination of Battes and Matoba teach wherein said catalog comprises an online catalog connected to said capacity scheduling system via a network (see response to claim 1).

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4252**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wynn Coggins** can be reached on **(703) 308-1344**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703)** 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7206 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Page 6

Mark Fadok

Patent Examiner